Search for charmless two-body baryonic decays of $B$ mesons
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The Belle Collaboration recently reported the observation of the decay process \( B^+ \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^+ \) [1], which is the first known example of a \( B \) meson decay to a charmless final state containing baryons. In this paper we report the results of a search for the related two-body modes \( B^0 \rightarrow p\bar{p}, \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \) and \( B^+ \rightarrow p\bar{\Lambda} \) [2]. In the standard model, these decays are expected to proceed via color-suppressed \( b \rightarrow u \) tree diagrams [Figs. 1(a) and 1(c)] and \( b \rightarrow s, d \) penguin diagrams [Figs. 1(b) and 1(d)]. The search is based on a 29.4 fb\(^{-1}\) sample of \( e^+e^- \) data accumulated at the \( Y(4S) \) resonance, which contains 31.7 million \( B\bar{B} \) pairs. A previous search for these decays by the CLEO Collaboration using a 5.41 fb\(^{-1}\) sample of \( Y(4S) \) data yielded 90% confidence-level (C.L.) upper limits [3].

Belle [4] is a general purpose detector operating at the KEKB asymmetric \( e^+e^- \) collider [5]. Tracking information is provided by a silicon vertex detector and a central drift chamber (CDC) in a 1.5 T magnetic field. Hadron identification (PID) for \( \pi/K/p \) discrimination is obtained from CDC \( dE/dx \) measurements, aerogel Čerenkov counter pulse heights, and timing information from the time-of-flight system. Electron identification is based mainly on CsI(Tl) electromagnetic calorimeter and CDC \( dE/dx \) information. \( K_L \) and muons are identified by a system of resistive plate counters interleaved with the iron plates of the flux-return iron yoke.

The event selection criteria are based on tracking and PID requirements, and are optimized using Monte Carlo (MC) simulated event samples. All primary charged tracks are required to satisfy the following track quality criteria based on the track impact parameters relative to the interaction point (IP), which is determined run by run. The \( z \) axis is defined by the positron beam line. The deviations from the IP position are required to be within \( \pm 0.05 \) cm in the transverse \((x,y)\) plane, and within \( \pm 2 \) cm in the \( z \) direction. Tracks that satisfy the muon or electron identification requirements are rejected.

Primary proton candidates are selected based on \( p/K/\pi \) likelihood functions obtained from the hadron identification

We report the results of a search for the rare baryonic decays \( B^0 \rightarrow p\bar{p}, \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \), and \( B^+ \rightarrow p\bar{\Lambda} \). The analysis is based on a data set of \( 31.7 \times 10^6 \) \( B\bar{B} \) events collected by the Belle detector at the KEKB \( e^+e^- \) collider. No statistically significant signals are found, and we set branching fraction upper limits \( \mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow p\bar{p}) < 1.2 \times 10^{-6}, \mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}) < 1.0 \times 10^{-6} \), and \( \mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow p\bar{\Lambda}) < 2.2 \times 10^{-6} \) at the 90% confidence level.
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FIG. 1. Illustrative diagrams for \( B \) decays to charmless baryon pairs.
of the noncandidate tracks and showers. This distribution is nearly flat for signal events and is strongly peaked at $\pm 1$ for continuum background. We also use $\cos \theta_B$, the cosine of the angle between the $B$ candidate flight direction and the photon beam direction. The signal has a $\sin^2 \theta_B$ distribution while the background is uniformly distributed. We require the absolute values of $\cos \theta_B$ and $\cos \theta_b$ to be less than 0.9 for $\Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$ decays and less than 0.8 for the other modes. For the latter case, the background reduction factor is more than five, while $\sim 70\%$ of the signal is retained.

We use the following two kinematic variables to identify the reconstructed $B$ meson candidates: the beam constrained mass, $m_{bc} = \sqrt{E_{beam}^2 - P_B^2}$, and the energy difference, $\Delta E = E_B - E_{beam}$, where $E_{beam}$, $P_B$ and $E_B$ are the beam energy, the momentum and energy of the reconstructed $B$ meson in the $Y(4S)$ c.m. frame, respectively. We retain events with $5.20 \text{ GeV}/c^2 < m_{bc} < 5.29 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ and $-0.2 \text{ GeV} < \Delta E < 0.2 \text{ GeV}$. The signal yield is extracted by maximizing the likelihood function

$$L = e^{-(s+b)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( s P_s(m_{bc}; \Delta E_i) + b P_b(m_{bc}; \Delta E_i) \right),$$

where $N$ is the total number of candidate events, $s(b)$ denotes the signal (background) yield and $P_s(b)$ denotes the signal (background) probability density function (pdf).

The $m_{bc}$ and $\Delta E$ signal pdf’s are determined by MC simulation. We use a Gaussian function as the signal pdf for the $m_{bc}$ distribution and a sum of two Gaussians for the $\Delta E$ distribution. The Gaussian parameters (mean and $\sigma$) are determined separately for each mode. Background shapes are determined from events in sideband regions of $\Delta E$ and $m_{bc}$ separately. We adopt an empirical function [8] to model the $m_{bc}$ background shape (for events with $0.1 \text{ GeV} < |\Delta E| < 0.2 \text{ GeV}$) and a first-order polynomial for the $\Delta E$ background shape (for events with $5.20 \text{ GeV}/c^2 < m_{bc} < 5.26 \text{ GeV}/c^2$).

Table I summarizes the results. The efficiencies for the $\Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$ and $p \bar{p}$ modes include the $\Lambda \rightarrow p \pi^-$ branching fraction (64%). The efficiencies are determined from a signal MC sample with the identical event selection and fitting procedure as for the data. Figures 3 and 4 show the $m_{bc}$ (with $|\Delta E| < 0.05 \text{ GeV}$) and $\Delta E$ (with $5.27 \text{ GeV}/c^2 < m_{bc} < 5.29 \text{ GeV}/c^2$) projections for these three modes, respectively. Projections of the fits are shown as smooth curves. No statistically significant signals are found and we determine 90% C.L. upper limits on the signal yields by integrating the likelihood function. We also compute limits using a counting method [9]. We define a signal region by $5.27 \text{ GeV}/c^2 < m_{bc} < 5.29 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ and $|\Delta E| < 0.05 \text{ GeV}$, and treat the number of background events from the maximum likelihood fit as a prediction for the background in this region. We then count the number of events actually observed, and apply the method of [9] to obtain 90% C.L. intervals.

To estimate the possible influence of fluctuations on the determination of the upper limits, we vary the parameters of the pdf’s by one standard deviation and change the form of the $\Delta E$ signal pdf to a single Gaussian. The relative change...
TABLE I. Results of the search for the exclusive baryon modes. The signal yields, $Y$, and errors are determined from maximum likelihood fits. The 90% C.L. upper limits from the fits and from the counting method are listed together. We quote the higher values as our conservative estimates for upper limits. The efficiencies, $\varepsilon$, are obtained from MC simulation. The 90% C.L. upper limits for the branching fractions, $B$, determined by this experiment are shown along with previous CLEO results. Some theoretical predictions from Ref. [10] are quoted for comparison.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>$Y$</th>
<th>UL (fitting/counting)</th>
<th>$\varepsilon$ (%)</th>
<th>$B(10^{-6})$</th>
<th>CLEO $B(10^{-6})$</th>
<th>Theory $B(10^{-6})$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$p\bar{p}$</td>
<td>$0.6^{+0.5}_{-0.6}$</td>
<td>7.0/9.7</td>
<td>27.5 ± 2.0</td>
<td>&lt;1.2</td>
<td>&lt;7.0</td>
<td>0.1–7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$</td>
<td>$0.0^{+0.5}_{-0.0}$</td>
<td>3.0/3.2</td>
<td>10.8 ± 1.1</td>
<td>&lt;1.0</td>
<td>&lt;3.9</td>
<td>0.0–0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p\bar{\Lambda}$</td>
<td>$1.0^{+2.5}_{-1.0}$</td>
<td>7.0/10.4</td>
<td>16.2 ± 1.4</td>
<td>&lt;2.2</td>
<td>&lt;2.6</td>
<td>0.2–3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

of the upper limit is 25%, which is mainly due to the changes in the background shape. The upper limit determination by the counting method is checked by redefining the signal region (varying the $\Delta E$ range from 2$\sigma$ to 4$\sigma$) and comparing the outcomes. The upper limits from both methods listed in column 3 of Table I include these fluctuations. We quote the values from the counting method as the most conservative upper limits. Fit projections with the signal yield fixed at the upper limit for each mode are shown as the superimposed dashed curves in Figs. 3 and 4 for comparison.

The systematic error due to the efficiency of the proton identification ($p$-K and $p$-p) criteria is studied using $\Lambda$ samples. We vary the likelihood ratio requirement for protons and compare the ratio of reconstructed $\Lambda$ yields in data and MC calculation. The overall error is about 3%. We include a 2% error per track to account for the uncertainty in tracking efficiency. The $\Lambda$ reconstruction efficiency is checked by comparing the flight distance distributions of data and MC calculation. They agree very well and no additional error is assigned. The correlated parts of the errors are added linearly to obtain the overall uncertainty in the tracking efficiency and the uncertainty in the PID efficiencies (for $p$ and $\bar{p}$), then the resulting errors are combined in quadrature. When determining the upper limit for the branching fraction, the efficiency was reduced by one standard deviation. The efficiencies and upper limits for all three decay modes are listed in Table I.

In summary, we have performed a search for the rare baryonic decays $B^0 \to p\bar{p}$, $\Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$, and $B^+ \to p\bar{\Lambda}$ with 31.7 million $B\bar{B}$ events collected by the Belle detector at the KEKB $e^+e^-$ collider. No statistically significant signals are found for these modes, and we set upper limits on their branching fractions at the 90% C.L. The upper limits are:

$$B(B^0 \to p\bar{p}) < 1.2 \times 10^{-6},$$
$$B(B^0 \to \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}) < 1.0 \times 10^{-6},$$
$$B(B^+ \to p\bar{\Lambda}) < 2.2 \times 10^{-6}.$$

These are currently the most stringent limits for these decays. The limit on $B^0 \to p\bar{p}$ has been improved by a factor of six compared to the existing bound [3]. These limits are con-

FIG. 3. The distributions of $m_{bc}$ for (a) $B^0 \to p\bar{p}$, (b) $B^0 \to \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$ and (c) $B^+ \to p\bar{\Lambda}$ candidates. The solid curve is the projection of the maximum likelihood fit. The dashed curve shows the fit with the signal yield fixed at the 90% C.L. upper limit.

FIG. 4. The distributions of $\Delta E$ for (a) $B^0 \to p\bar{p}$, (b) $B^0 \to \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$ and (c) $B^+ \to p\bar{\Lambda}$ candidates. The solid curve is the projection of the maximum likelihood fit. The dashed curve shows the fit with the signal yield fixed at the 90% C.L. upper limit.
siderably below corresponding charmless mesonic branching fractions which are typically at the level of $10^{-5}$. In contrast, the recent Belle measurement of $B^+ \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^+$ [1] indicates a relatively large branching fraction of $4.3 \times 10^{-6}$. The underlying physics [10] is now being probed with rapidly accumulating data and new experimental results.
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[2] Charge-conjugate processes are included throughout the analysis.